

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/825,975	04/16/2004		Jeffrey Scott Wigdahl	16855-US	8722
7:	590	09/20/2005		EXAMINER	
DEERE & COMPANY			HURLEY, KEVIN		
Patent Department One John Deere Place				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Moline, IL 61265-8098				3611	
				DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	1		
Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/825,975	WIGDAHL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin Hurley	3611	

		7.00 0.00						
	Kevin Hurley	3611						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following								
time periods: a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	_					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or	itter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-17</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 18-20.								
Claim(s) rejected. <u>70-20</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
		Kevin Hurley						
		Primary Examiner						

Art Unit: 3611

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed amendment is not limited to canceling claims or complying with any requirement of form expressly set forth in a previous Office action, as set forth in 35 USC 1.116(b). Furthermore, there is no showing under 35 USC 1.116(c) of good and sufficient reasons why they are necessary and were not earlier presented.